arXiv:1601.03003v1 [math.CO] 12 Jan 2016

THE INTERLACE POLYNOMIAL

ADA MORSE

Abstract. In this paper, we survey results regarding the interlace polynomial of a graph, connections to such graph polynomials as the Martin and Tutte polynomials, and generalizations to the realms of isotropic systems and delta-matroids.

1. Introduction

The interlace polynomial of a graph arises in a number of settings. We begin with the simplest, that of a recursive method for counting Eulerian circuits in two-in two-out digraphs. The interlace polynomial of a simple graph is then obtained by generalizing the recursion used to solve this counting problem. We then discuss a closed form for the polynomial in terms of its adjacency matrix, the structure of which suggests definitions for analogous polynomials as well as a two-variable generalization. Another context in which the interlace polynomial arises is in isotropic systems, where it appears as a specialization of the Tutte-Martin polynomials, a connection we follow by way of the Martin polynomials of 4-regular graphs. Lastly, we review generalizations of the polynomial to square matrices and delta-matroids.

In the context of counting Eulerian circuits in two-in two-out digraphs, the interlace polynomial arose by way of Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin's work on DNA sequencing [2]. In DNA sequencing by hybridization, the goal is to reconstruct a string of DNA knowing only information about its shorter substrings. The problem is to determine, from knowledge about the shorter substrings, whether a unique reconstruction exists.

More precisely, if $A = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_m$ is a sequence consisting of m base pairs, the l-spectrum of A is the multiset containing all l-tuples consisting of l consecutive base pairs in A. Given knowledge of the l-spectrum, the goal is to determine the number $k_l(m)$ of sequences of base pairs of length m having that l-spectrum.

In [2], the authors associate to a given l-spectrum its *de Bruijn graph*: a two-in two-out digraph D such that the Eulerian circuits of D are in bijection with sequences of base pairs having that l-spectrum. The problem, then, is to count the number of Eulerian circuits of D. This approach led to the discovery of a recursive formula for computing the number of Eulerian circuits of D based on an associated interlace graph. In [3], Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin generalized this recursion to define the interlace polynomial of an arbitrary simple graph.

The Eulerian circuits and cycle decompositions of 4-regular graphs have been an area of significant interest among graph theorists for many years, and approaches using graph polynomials have frequently proved fruitful [35, 34, 32, 29]. The Martin polynomial [35, 34], in particular, is closely related to the interlace polynomial as

Date: January 13, 2016.

it counts, for any k, the number of k-component circuit partitions of a 4-regular graph.

This connection can be made explicit and, indeed, generalized. In a series of papers in the 1980s-1990s, Bouchet introduced the notion of an isotropic system to unify aspects of the study of 4-regular graphs and binary matroids [8, 12, 14], including a generalization of the Martin polynomials to this area [14]. Shortly after the discovery of the interlace polynomial, it was noticed that the interlace polynomial can be found as a specialization of the (restricted) Tutte-Martin polynomial of an isotropic system [13, 1].

A connection between the interlace polynomial and the Tutte polynomial can be found by way of the Martin polynomial. However, this connection only captures the Tutte polynomial $t(G; x, y)$ for plane graphs when $x = y$, and so does not provide any strong link between the interlace polynomial and the many specializations of the Tutte polynomial, such as the chromatic polynomial. Slightly more of the Tutte polynomial can be captured by a generalization of the interlace polynomial of a matroid, however there is no known connection between the interlace polynomial and the full Tutte polynomial (or other deletion-contraction polynomial) in any context.

Many generalizations of the interlace polynomial have been obtained. In [4], Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin defined a two-variable interlace polynomial of which the single-variable polynomial is a specialization. In doing so, they discovered, concurrently with Aigner and van der Holst [1], a closed form for the single-variable interlace polynomial in terms of its adjacency matrix. This closed form has a natural extension to arbitrary square matrices, and using a delta-matroid associated to the adjacency matrix of a graph, Brijder and Hoogeboom obtained a generalization of the interlace polynomial to delta-matroids [22]. In each case, the recursive definition of the interlace polynomial has also been generalized.

2. The interlace polynomial of a graph

We first begin by defining the interlace polynomial recursively by way of counting Eulerian circuits in two-in two-out digraphs, and then discuss a closed form, analogous polynomials, and a two variable generalization. We conclude with selected evaluations of the interlace polynomial.

2.1. **Preliminary definitions.**

Definition 1. A *two-in two-out digraph* is a 4-regular digraph such that each vertex has both indegree and outdegree equal to two. Let G be a two-in two-out digraph. An *Eulerian circuit* of G is a closed, directed walk of G containing each edge exactly once. Given an Eulerian circuit C of G, we say that vertices a and b are *interlaced* if the cycle visits them in the order $a \dots b \dots a \dots b \dots$ and *noninterlaced* otherwise. The *interlace graph* or *circle graph* $H(C)$ is the graph whose vertices are the vertices of G with edges between pairs of vertices interlaced in C (see Figure 1 (b) and (c)).

Interlace graphs have been extensively studied [11, 9, 15, 26, 39, 38], and were characterized by Bouchet in [9, 15]. A particular focus of the area, due to a problem of Gauss, has been characterizations of the interlace graphs arising from Eulerian cycles in plane 4-regular graphs [26, 39, 38].

There is a natural operation defined on Eulerian circuits of two-in two-out digraphs in terms of this interlace relation.



(a) A two-in two-out digraph G.



(b) An Eulerian cycle C in G.



(c) The interlace graph $H(C)$.



(d) The Eulerian circuit C^{ab}.



(d) The interlace graph $H(C^{ab})$.

FIGURE 1. Transpositions of Eulerian circuits and the interlace graph.

Definition 2. At each vertex of a two-in two-out digraph G, there are two possible (orientation consistent) pairings of in-edges and out-edges. For a pair of vertices a and b interlaced in an Eulerian circuit C of G, define the *transposition* C^{ab} to be the Eulerian circuit obtained by switching the pairing of edges at a and b, see Figure 1 (b) and (d).

The Eulerian circuits of G form a single orbit under the action of transposition, the proof of which can be found in [3] but was known previously in more general form in [37, 43]. Observation of the effect on the interlace relation by performing the above operation to an Eulerian circuit leads to a corresponding definition for interlace graphs, presented here for graphs in general.

Definition 3. Let G be any graph. Let $v \in V(G)$. For any pair of vertices $a, b \in V(G)$, partition the remaining vertices of G into the following sets: (1) vertices adjacent to a and not b; (2) vertices adjacent to b and not a; (3) vertices adjacent to both a and b; and (4) vertices adjacent to neither a nor b. Define the *pivot* G^{ab} to be the graph obtained by inserting all possible edges between the first three of these sets, and deleting those that were already present in G (see Fig. 2). Denote by G_{ab} the graph G with the labels of the vertices a and b swapped.



(a) A graph G. (b) The pivot G^{ab}.

FIGURE 2. On the left, a graph G with edge ab and vertices partitioned as in Definition 3 (the parts of G unaffected by pivoting are not shown.) On the right, G^{ab} is obtained by toggling edges/nonedges among the sets of vertices labeled $1, 2$, and 3.

While the definition of pivot above is due to Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin [2], the idea of the pivot appeared in the earlier work of Kotzig [33] on local complementations and the graph $(G^{ab})_{ab}$ is defined by Bouchet in [12] as the *complementation of G along the edge ab*. The precise connection to both is as follows.

Definition 4. Let G be a graph. For $v \in V(G)$, we denote the open neighborhood of v by $N(v)$. We say v is a *looped vertex* if v has a loop. Note that $v \notin N(v)$ even if v is a looped vertex. We define the *local complement* $G * v$ to be the graph obtained from G by interchanging edges and non-edges in $N(v)$. By convention, we read graph operations left-to-right, so $G * v * w * v = ((G * v) * w) * v$.

Theorem 1. [18, 12] *Let G be a graph. If ab is an edge in G with neither a nor b a looped vertex, then $(G^{ab})_{ab} = G * a * b * a$.*

In the case of interlace graphs, the pivot operation captures the behavior of a transposition of an Eulerian circuit in the following sense.

Theorem 2. [3] *For an Eulerian circuit C of a two-in two-out digraph G, we have $(H(C))^{ab} = (H(C^{ab}))_{ab}$.*

We can now define the interlace polynomial of a graph. Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin proved in [3] that the recurrence below does not depend on the order of edges chosen, i.e. the polynomial is well-defined.

Definition 5. *(The interlace polynomial)* [3] Let G be a simple graph. The *the interlace polynomial of G*, denoted $q_N(G; x)$, is defined by

$$q_N(G; x) = \begin{cases} q_N(G \setminus a; x) + q_N(G^{ab} \setminus b; x), & ab \in E(G) \\ x^n, & G \cong E_n \end{cases}$$

where E_n is the graph on n vertices with no edges.

Note that while the recurrence above is presented in its original form, in generalizations of the interlace polynomial the label-switching operation G_{ab} (see Definition 3) occurs as part of the generalized pivot operation. In the case of the recurrence above, this can be obtained using local complementation in place of the pivot operation (see Theorem 1). Under that convention, the recurrence above becomes

$q_N(G;x) = q_N(G \setminus a;x) + q_N(G * a * b * a \setminus a;x)$, which aligns with the form of the recurrence used in subsequent sections. In addition, the interlace polynomial was originally denoted $q(G)$. We follow [4] in reserving that notation for the two-variable generalization.

Definition 5 is stated for simple graphs. It can, however, be extended to the case of looped graphs (i.e. graphs with loops, but without multiple edges.) In this case, the recurrence above only holds for edges where neither endpoint has a loop, and an additional recurrence is required to handle looped vertices. For precise details, see Theorem 5 below on the two-variable interlace polynomial.

Aigner and van der Holst discovered in [1] a state-sum formulation for the interlace polynomial in terms of the adjacency matrix.

Definition 6. Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of a graph G. For $T \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[T]$ the subgraph of G induced by T. We denote by $n(G[T])$ and $r(G[T])$ the nullity and rank respectively of $A(G[T])$. By convention, $n(G[\emptyset]) = 0$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we define the *loop complement* of G with respect to S, denoted $G + S$, to be the graph obtained by adding loops to unlooped vertices in S and removing loops from looped vertices of S.

Theorem 3. [1] *Let G be a simple graph. Then*

$$q_N(G;x) = \sum_{T \subseteq V(G)} (x-1)^{n(G[T])}.$$

Due to this formula, the interlace polynomial is sometimes referred to as the vertex-nullity polynomial. A related polynomial is the vertex-rank polynomial, obtained by replacing $n(G[T])$ with $r(G[T])$ in the above expression (see the discussion of the two-variable interlace polynomial below.)

Aigner and van der Holst [1] as well as Bouchet [13] also defined and studied the following related polynomial.

Definition 7. [1, 13] Let G be a graph with vertex a. Define the polynomial $Q(G;x)$ by the following recursion:

(1) if G contains an edge ab then

$$Q(G;x) = Q(G \setminus a;x) + Q(G * a \setminus a;x) + Q(G^{ab} \setminus b;x), \text{and}$$

(2) $Q(E_n;x) = x^n$.

As with the interlace polynomial, there is also an adjacency matrix version of the Q polynomial. Note that the expression below is not the original form given in [1], but can be recovered from the description in [1] (see also Traldi's work on labeled interlace polynomials [41]).

Theorem 4. [1] *For a graph G we have*

$$Q(G;x) = \sum_{T \subseteq V(G)} \sum_{S \subseteq T} (x-2)^{n((G+S)[T])}.$$

In [4], Arratia, Bollobás, and Sorkin developed a two-variable extension of the interlace polynomial. Note that while we will focus on the two-variable polynomial below, another multivariable generalization was studied by Courcelle in [5] and Traldi studied a labeled multivariable interlace polynomial in [41].

Definition 8. Let G be a graph. Then the two-variable interlace polynomial is

$$q(G;x,y) = \sum_{T \subseteq V(G)} (x-1)^{r(G[T])}(y-1)^{n(G[T])}$$

This is indeed an extension of the single-variable polynomial: setting $x = 2$ in the above equation yields precisely the formula of Theorem 3. Setting $y = 2$ instead yields a related graph polynomial (the *vertex-rank polynomial*), studied in [4]. The two-variable polynomial also satisfies a recurrence generalizing that satisfied by the single-variable polynomial. Indeed, on simple graphs, setting $x = 2$ in the recurrence below recovers the original recurrence of the single-variable interlace polynomial. Thus, on looped graphs, setting $x = 2$ provides an extension of the single-variable polynomial to graphs with loops.

Theorem 5. [4] *The two-variable interlace polynomial satisfies the following recurrence:*

(1) *if ab is an edge of G where neither a nor b has a loop, then*

$$q(G;x,y) = q(G \setminus a;x,y) + q(G^{ab} \setminus b;x,y) + ((x-1)^2 - 1)q(G^{ab} \setminus a \setminus b;x,y),$$

(2) *if a is a looped vertex of G then*

$$q(G) = q(G \setminus a;x,y) + (x-1)q(G * a \setminus a;x,y), \text{and}$$

(3) $q(E_n;x,y) = y^n$.

Lastly, note that while the single-variable interlace polynomial can be viewed as a specialization of the restricted Tutte-Martin polynomial of an isotropic system (see Section 3.2 below), no such generalization is known for the two-variable version.

2.2. **Evaluations of the interlace polynomial.** The interlace polynomial of a graph has been found to encode structural information as well as graph invariants. These include Eulerian circuits, perfect matchings, independence number, component number, and more. The evaluations below of $q_N(G)$ at $1, -1, 3$ and 2 extend to graphs with loops while the evaluation at 0 does not [22]. The evaluation at -1 was conjectured in [2]. The proofs of these evaluations specifically for the interlace polynomial can be found in the papers cited below, but we note that many can be recovered from evaluations of the Tutte-Martin polynomials derived in [14]. Item (2) is the solution to the counting problem that motivated the development of the polynomial.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a graph, possibly with loops but without multiple edges. Let $n = |V(G)|$.*

(1) [1] *$q_N(G;1)$ is the number of induced subgraphs of G with an odd number of perfect matchings (including the empty set.)*
(2) [3] *If $H(C)$ is the interlace graph of an Eulerian circuit of a two-in two-out digraph D, then $q_N(H(C);1)$ is the number of Eulerian circuits in D.*
(3) [3] $q_N(G;2) = 2^n$.
(4) [1, 6, 22] $q_N(G;-1) = (-1)^n(-2)^{n(G+V(G))}$.
(5) [1] *If G is simple then $q_N(G;0) = 0$ if $n \geq 1$.*
(6) [1] $q_N(G;3) = kq_N(G;-1)$ *for some odd integer k.*

Theorem 7. [1] *Let G be a simple graph with $n = |V(G)|$.*

(1) $Q(G;0) = 0$ *if $n \geq 1$.*

(2) $Q(G;3) = 3^n$.
(3) $Q(G;4) = 2^n e$ *where* e *is the number of induced Eulerian subgraphs of* G.
(4) *For each* $T \subseteq V(G)$, *we associate with* T *general induced subgraphs, which are subgraphs obtained from the subgraph induced by* T *by adding loops at any of the vertices of* T. *We allow perfect matchings of a general induced subgraph to include loops. Then* $Q(G)(2)$ *is the number of general induced subgraphs with an odd number of general perfect matchings.*

The following results describe the structure of the interlace polynomial.

Theorem 8. *Let* G *be a simple graph with* $n = |V(G)|$.

(1) [1] *Let* $[G]$ *denote the set of all graphs obtainable from* G *by a sequence of pivots. Then* $\deg q_N(G;x) = \max_{H\in[G]} \alpha(H)$ *where* $\alpha(H)$ *is the independence number of* H.
(2) [3] *The least power of* x *appearing in* $q_N(G;x)$ *is the number of components of* G.
(3) [30] *If* $n \geq 1$ *then* $q_N(G;x)$ *has no constant term.*
(4) [30] *If* $n > 1$ *then writing* $q_N(G;x) = \sum a_i x^i$ *and* $q(G;x,y) = \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j$ *yields* $a_1 = a_{01} = -a_{10}$.
(5) [30] *If* $n > 1$ *then writing* $q(G;x,y)$ *and* $q_N(G;x)$ *as above we have* $a_1 = \sum_{i\geq 0} a_{i1} 2^i$ *and* $\sum_{i\geq 1} a_{i1} 2^i = 0$.

The common value $a_1 = a_{01} = -a_{10}$ in item (4) above is defined and studied as a graph invariant in [30].

3. Connections to other polynomials

Graph polynomials have been used extensively in the study of 4-regular graphs and their circuit decompositions, and there are many connections between the interlace polynomial and other graph polynomials arising in that context.

3.1. The Martin and Tutte polynomials.

The Martin polynomial was defined by Martin in [35] to study circuit partitions of 4-regular graphs. Given that the interlace polynomial can be used to count the number of Eulerian circuits of a two-in two-out digraph, it is not surprising that the polynomials should have some connection. Before defining the Martin polynomial(s), we establish the following notions for 4-regular graphs.

Definition 9. Let G be a 4-regular graph. A *circuit partition* of G is a decomposition of G into edge-disjoint circuits. A *transition* (or *state*) at a vertex v of G is a choice of one of the three possible pairings of edges incident with v. If G is a two-in two-out digraph, we require that transitions follow the orientation of G by pairing incoming edges with outgoing edges. A *transition system* (or *graph state*) T of G consists of a choice of transition at each vertex of G. Any transition system T of G induces a circuit partition of G and vice versa. Denote by $|T|$ the number of circuits in the transition system T. An *Eulerian system* is a choice of Eulerian circuit for each component of G.

We note that the Martin polynomials given below were originally defined recursively by Martin in [35], with the closed forms due to Las Vergnas [34]. We follow closely the notation of [14] to make the connection to Tutte-Martin polynomials in the next section most explicit.



(a) A 4-regular graph G. (b) A transition system (circuit partition) of G.

FIGURE 3. A transition system of a 4-regular graph.

Definition 10. Let G be a 4-regular graph. The *Martin polynomial of* G is

$$M(G;x) = \sum (x-2)^{|T|-k(G)}$$

where the sum is over all transition systems T. For a two-in two-out digraph G we define

$$m(G;x) = \sum (x-1)^{|T|-k(G)}$$

where the sum is over all transition systems T.

The connection of these polynomials to the interlace polynomials q_N and Q of graphs can be seen as follows. Let G be a 4-regular graph and let C be an Eulerian system of G. Let $H(C)$ be the interlace graph of C. Let P be any circuit partition of G. At each vertex, the transition in P is either contained in C, is consistently oriented by C but not contained in C, or is not consistently oriented by C. Let W be the set of vertices at which P agrees with C, Y the set at which P disagrees but follows the orientation induced by C, and Z the set of vertices at which P disagrees with the orientation induced by C. Then Traldi has proven the following result in [40], building on work of Cohn and Lempel [25].

Theorem 9. [40] *Under the conditions of the previous remarks, we have*

$$|P| - k(G) = n((H(C) + Z)[Y \cup Z]) \tag{3.1}$$

This connection between circuit partitions and nullities yields the following equality between the Martin and interlace polynomial, a result initially observed in [3] and proved in [30, 40].

Theorem 10. *Let G be a two-in two-out digraph and let C be an Eulerian decomposition of G. Then $m(G;x) = q(H(C);x)$.*

Equation 3.1 can also be used to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 11. *Let G be a 4-regular graph. Let C be an Eulerian system of G. Then $M(G;x) = Q(H(C);x)$.*

Theorem 9 can also be used to obtain a connection between the interlace polynomial and the Tutte polynomial. We recall here the recursive definition of the Tutte polynomial, and refer the reader to e.g. [28, 23] for surveys.

Definition 11. Let G be a graph. The *Tutte polynomial* of G is the polynomial $t(G;x,y)$ obtained from the following recurrence:



(a) A plane graph G.



(b) The construction of the medial graph G_m.



(c) The two-coloring of the faces of G_m.



(d) The oriented medial graph $\vec{G}_m$.

FIGURE 4. The construction of the oriented medial graph $\vec{G}_m$ from a plane graph G.

(1) $t(G;x,y) = t(G\setminus e;x,y)+t(G/e;x,y)$ if e is an edge that is neither a bridge nor a loop, and
(2) $t(G;x,y) = x^i y^j$ if no such edge exists and G has i bridges and j loops.

Martin discovered a connection between the Martin polynomial and the Tutte polynomial in the case of plane graphs, which then extends, by results above, to the interlace polynomial. We first require the definition of the medial graph of a plane graph.

Definition 12. Let G be a plane graph. The medial graph G_m of G is obtained by placing vertices on each of the edges of G, and connecting these vertices with edges by following the face-boundary walks (see Fig. 4). Color the faces of G_m containing a vertex of G black, and color the remaining faces white. This properly two-colors the faces of G. Orient edges counterclockwise around the black faces to obtain the oriented medial graph $\vec{G}_m$. Note that $\vec{G}_m$ is a two-in two-out digraph.

With this construction, we have the following two theorems relating the Martin, Tutte, and interlace polynomials.

Theorem 12. [36] *Let G be a plane graph with oriented medial graph $\vec{G}_m$. Then*

$$t(G;x,x) = m(\vec{G}_m;x).$$

Theorem 13. [30] *Let G be a plane graph with oriented medial graph $\vec{G}_m$. Let C be an Eulerian circuit in $\vec{G}_m$ with interlace graph $H(C)$. Then*

$$t(G;x,x) = q_N(H(C);x).$$

3.2. **Isotropic systems and the Tutte-Martin polynomials.** Isotropic systems were introduced and studied by Bouchet in a number of papers to unify the study of binary matroids and transition systems of 4-regular graphs [8, 12, 14]. In particular, he introduced in [14] the Tutte-Martin polynomials of isotropic systems,

of which the one-variable interlace polynomial of a graph is a specialization. We follow here the notation and approach of [13].

Definition 13. Let $K = \{0, x, y, z\}$ be the Klein 4-group under addition, considered as a vector space of dimension 2 over $GF(2)$. Let $K' = K \setminus 0$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the bilinear form on K given by $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$ if neither a nor b is zero and $a \neq b$ and $\langle a, b \rangle = 0$ otherwise. For any finite set V, denote by K^V the set of V-tuples with entries from K considered as a vector space over GF(2). Define $(K')^V$ similarly. Extend the bilinear form on K to K^V by defining $\langle X, Y \rangle = \sum_{v \in V} \langle X_v, Y_v \rangle$ (where e.g. X_v is the entry in the v-labelled coordinate of X). We define an *isotropic system* to be a pair (V, L) where V is a finite set, L is a subspace of K^V of dimension $|V|$, and $\langle X, Y \rangle = 0$ for all $X, Y \in L$. For any $X \in K^V$, define $\widehat{X} = \{Y \in K^V : Y_v \in \{0, X_v\} \text{ for all } v \in V\}$. Note that $\widehat{X}$ is always an isotropic system.

Definition 14. Let $S = (V, L)$ be an isotropic system. Let $C \in (K')^V$. The *restricted Tutte-Martin polynomial* of S with respect to C is given by

$$tm(S, C; x) = \sum_X (x-1)^{\dim(L \cap \widehat{X})}$$

where the sum is taken over all $X \in (K')^V$ such that $X_v \neq C_v$ for all v.

The connection between isotropic systems and 4-regular graphs can be seen as follows (see [14]). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a 4-regular graph. The *cycle space* $L(G)$ is the collection of all edge-sets of G inducing subgraphs having even degree at each vertex. For each vertex $v \in V$, let λ_v be a bijection labelling the three transitions at v with distinct values from K' (see Figure 5 (d)). This labelling induces a bijection Λ from transition systems of G to $(K')^V$ by defining $\Lambda(T)_v = \lambda_v(t)$ where t is the transition of T at the vertex v (see Figure 5 (b)). The labelling λ can also be used to define a linear map from $L(G)$ to K^V as follows. Given $F \in L(G)$ and $v \in V$, F contains either no edges at v, four edges at v, or two edges at v. In the first and second case, define $\Lambda(F)_v = 0$. In the final case, the pairing of those two edges induces a transition t at v, and we define $\Lambda(F)_v = \lambda_v(t)$ (see Figure 5 (c)). The image L of $L(G)$ under Λ is a subspace of K^V. Bouchet has proven [8] that $S = (L, V)$ is an isotropic system such that $|T| - k(G) = \dim(L \cap \widehat{\Lambda(T)})$ for any transition system T. This yields the following connection to the Martin polynomial.

Theorem 14. [14] *Let G be a two-in two-out digraph with transition system T. Then*

$$tm(S, \Lambda(T); x) = m(G; x).$$

While the above provides an immediate connection to the interlace polynomial on interlace graphs via Theorem 10, we can in fact recapture the interlace polynomial for any graph through a more general construction of an isotropic system associated to a graph.

Definition 15. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set V. Let $N(v)$ denote the neighborhood of $v \in V$. The powerset of V forms a vector space over $GF(2)$ with addition corresponding to symmetric difference of sets. For $P \subseteq V$, define $N(P) = \sum_{v \in P} N(v)$. For $X \in K^V$ and $P \subseteq V$, denote by $X|P$ the vector given by $(X|P)_v = X_v$ for $v \in P$ and $(X|P)_v = 0$ for $v \notin P$. Let $A, B \in (K')^V$ with

(a) A 4-regular graph G.



(b) A transition system T of G; $\Lambda(T) = (z, x)$.



(c) An element $F \in L(G)$; $\Lambda(F) = (0, y)$.



(d) The function $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$.

FIGURE 5. Construction of the isotropic system associated to a 4-regular graph G and labelling function λ_v. In this case, the image L of $L(G)$ under Λ is the isotropic system $\{(0,0),(0,y),(y,0),(y,y)\}$.

$A_v \neq B_v$ for all $v \in V$. Define $L = \{A|P+B|(N(P)) : P \subseteq V\}$. Then $S = (V, L)$ is an isotropic system, for which the triple (G, A, B) is called a *graphic presentation*.

Aspects of the above definition can be seen as generalizations of the 4-regular case. For example, in the 4-regular case, when $S = (L, V)$ is associated as in the discussion above to the pair $(G, \{\lambda_v : v \in V(G)\})$, Eulerian circuits of G correspond to vectors $X \in (K')^V$ such that $\dim(L \cap \widehat{X}) = 0$. On the other hand, when (G, A, B) is a graphic presentation for $S = (L, V)$, the function A satisfies $\dim(L \cap \widehat{A}) = 0$, so A in some sense generalizes Eulerian circuits to this case. For a detailed exposition, see [12].

Theorem 15. [13] *Let G be a simple graph and let S be the isotropic system associated to the graphic presentation (G, A, B). Then*

$$tm(S, A + B; x) = q_N(G; x).$$

The Q polynomial of Definition 7 is also a specialization of a polynomial of isotropic systems. Note that once again a natural connection arises from the construction preceding Theorem 14. However, in this case we can, as for the interlace polynomial, recover Q entirely from graphic presentations.

Definition 16. [13] The *global Tutte-Martin polynomial* of an isotropic system $S = (L, V)$ is

$$TM(S; x) = \sum_{X \in (K')^V} (x-2)^{\dim(L \cap \widehat{X})}.$$

Theorem 16. [1, 13] *If (G, A, B) is a graphic presentation of the isotropic system $S = (L, V)$ then $Q(G; x) = TM(S; x)$.*

4. Generalizations

The closed form of the interlace polynomial in Theorem 3 lends itself directly to a generalization to square matrices, and, by way of the adjacency delta-matroid of a graph, to delta-matroids. In each case, generalized pivot operations can be obtained that yield generalizations of the original recurrence for the interlace polynomial of a graph.

4.1. **Square matrices.** The adjacency matrix formulation of Aigner and van der Holst in Theorem 3 lends itself nicely to a generalization of the polynomial to other matrices.

Definition 17. Let A be a $V \times V$ matrix over the field $\mathbb{F}$. The *interlace polynomial of* A is

$$q_m(A; x) = \sum_{T \subseteq V} (x-1)^{n(A[T])}.$$

The recursive definition of the interlace polynomial for graphs can be recovered for general matrices using the following matrix operation, which has been extensively studied both in this context and others [21, 31, 20, 42]

Definition 18. Let A be a $V \times V$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $T \subseteq V$ such that the submatrix $A[T]$ is invertible over $\mathbb{F}$. There is a permutation matrix X such that $XAX^T = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}$ with P the $T \times T$ submatrix of A. Then the principal pivot transform $A * T$ is the matrix satisfying

$$X(A*T)X^T = \begin{pmatrix} P^{-1} & -P^{-1}Q \\ RP^{-1} & S - RP^{-1}Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

The principal pivot transform can be thought of as a partial inverse.

Theorem 17. [42] *Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over a field $\mathbb{F}$ and let $T \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $A[T]$ is invertible. Let x and y be vectors in $\mathbb{F}_n$. Let u be the vector that agrees with y on those entries indexed by T and agrees with x elsewhere. Let v be the vector that agrees with x on those entries indexed by T and agrees with y elsewhere. Then $A * T$ is the unique matrix satisfying*

$$y = Ax \text{ if and only if } (A*T)u = v$$

for all vectors x and y.

The first part of the following theorem relates the principal pivot transform to the pivot operation on a graph. The second part establishes that the interlace polynomial of a matrix satisfies a recurrence that, by the first part, generalizes the recurrence for the interlace polynomial of a graph. We will write $A \setminus v$ for the submatrix $A_{V \setminus \{v\}}$.

Theorem 18.

(1) [21] *Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix A. Let $ab \in E(G)$. Then G^{ab} has adjacency matrix $A * ab$ with the labels of a and b exchanged.*
(2) [20] *Let A be a $V \times V$ matrix over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $T \subseteq V$ with $A[T]$ invertible over $\mathbb{F}$. Then*

$$q_m(A) = q_m(A \setminus v) + q_m((A*T) \setminus v)$$

for all $v \in V$.

4.2. **Delta-matroids.** In [22] Brijder and Hoogeboom generalized the interlace polynomial to delta-matroids, combinatorial objects that generalize matroids. In fact, they generalized the interlace polynomial to multimatroids, a further generalization of matroids introduced by Bouchet in a series of papers [16, 17, 18] which also generalize isotropic systems. We restrict here to the setting of delta-matroids, as this case most closely generalizes the interlace polynomial for graphs. Note,

however, that even in this case the proofs of the theorems below often use the theory of multimatroids. We require first the following basic definitions regarding set systems.

Definition 19. *(set system)* A *set system* is a pair $(E, \mathcal{F})$ where E is a finite set and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^E$. The elements of $\mathcal{F}$ are called *feasible sets*. A set system $(E, \mathcal{F})$ is said to be *proper* if $E \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 20. *(delta-matroid)* A *delta-matroid* is a proper set system $D = (E, \mathcal{F})$ satisfying the following *symmetric exchange axiom*: for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}$, if $x \in X \Delta Y$ then there exists $y \in X \Delta Y$ such that $X \Delta \{x, y\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 21. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system. An element contained in every feasible set is a *coloop*, and an element contained in no feasible set is a *loop*. Let $e \in E$. If e is not a coloop define M *delete* e to be the set system $M \setminus e = (E, \{F \in \mathcal{F} : e \notin F\})$. If e is not a loop define M *contract* e to be the set system $M/e = (E, \{F \setminus e : F \in \mathcal{F}, e \in F\})$. If e is a coloop, define $M \setminus e = M/e$ and if e is a loop define $M/e = M \setminus e$.

Definition 22. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system. For $X \subseteq M$, define the *twist* $M * X$ to be the set system $(E, \{F \Delta X : F \in \mathcal{F}\})$.

Definition 23. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system. For $e \in E$, define the *loop complement* $M + e$ to be the set system $(E, \mathcal{F} \Delta \{F \cup e : e \notin \mathcal{F}\})$.

Definition 24. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system. Twist $*e$ and local complementation $+e$ on a point $e \in E$ are involutions that generate a group isomorphic to S_3 [21]. The third involution is $*e + e * e = +e * e + e$. It is called the *dual pivot* and denoted $\bar{*}e$.

Definition 25. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system. For $X \subseteq E$, define the *distance from* X *to* M to be $d_M(X) = \min\{F \Delta X : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Note that loop complement on distinct points commutes [22], so we define $M + X$ for $X \subseteq E$ to be the set system obtained by performing loop complements at each of the points of X in any order. Furthermore, note that while twist, deletion, and contraction are all operations on delta-matroids, loop complement is not.

Example 19. [24] Let $M = (\{a, b, c\}, \{abc, ab, ac, bc, b, c, \emptyset\})$. Then M is a delta-matroid, but $M + a = (\{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, c, bc, \emptyset\})$ is not.

Definition 26. [22] We say a delta-matroid M is *vf-safe* if applying any sequence of twists and loop complements to M yields a delta-matroid.

The generalization of the interlace polynomial to delta-matroids follows from the vertex-nullity formula by first associating a delta-matroid to a graph via its adjacency matrix in such a way that the distance defined in Definition 25 above corresponds to the desired nullity of the graph.

Definition 27. Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix A, considered over $GF(2)$. The *adjacency delta-matroid of* G, denoted M_G, is the delta-matroid with ground set $V(G)$ and feasible sets consisting of all $X \subseteq V$ such that the principle submatrix $A[X]$ is invertible over $GF(2)$. Note that by convention $A[\emptyset]$ is invertible.

We note that in the above definition, $GF(2)$ can be replaced with $GF(n)$. A delta-matroid $D = (E, \mathcal{F})$ is said to be *representable over* $GF(n)$ if for some $X \subseteq E$ there exists a skew-symmetric matrix A over $GF(n)$ with $D = M_A * X$. This generalizes representability for matroids, and has been studied in [10, 19] among others. The following result shows that under this construction, distance for delta-matroids generalizes nullity for graphs.

Theorem 20. [20] *Let G be a graph with adjacency delta-matroid M_G. Then $d_{M_G}(X) = n(G[X])$.*

We can now define the interlace polynomial of a set system in such a way that, when the set system is the adjacency delta-matroid of a graph, it coincides with the interlace polynomial of a graph. Note that in [22], this definition is obtained via an evaluation of a generalized transition polynomial for multimatroids.

Definition 28. [22] Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system. The *interlace polynomial of* M is

$$q_\Delta(M; x) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} x^{d_M(X)}.$$

Theorem 21. [22] *Let G be a graph with adjacency matroid M_G. Then*

$$q(G; x) = q_\Delta(M_G; x - 1).$$

The interlace polynomial of a delta-matroid also satisfies a recurrence generalizing that of the interlace polynomial of a graph.

Theorem 22. [22] *Let $D = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a delta-matroid. Let $e \in E$ be neither a loop nor a coloop. Then*

$$q_\Delta(D; x) = q_\Delta(D \setminus e; x) + q_\Delta(D * e \setminus e; x).$$

If $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$, then for any $X \subseteq E$ and $e \in X$ we have

$$q_\Delta(D; x) = q_\Delta(D \setminus e; x) + q_\Delta(D * X \setminus e; x).$$

If every element of E is either a loop or a coloop, then $q_\Delta(D) = (y + 1)^{|E|}$.

Since the empty matrix is by convention invertible over $GF(2)$, the adjacency delta-matroid of a graph always has $\emptyset$ feasible, and so the second recurrence above (which most directly generalizes the recurrence for graphs) holds. Moreover, the theorem below shows that this recurrence coincides precisely with the recurrence for graphs in the case that D is the adjacency delta-matroid of a graph.

Theorem 23. [10, 21] *Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix A. Let $X \subseteq G$. If $A * X$ is defined, denote by $G * X$ the graph with adjacency matrix $A * X$. Then $M_{G*X} = M_G * X$ and $M_{G+X} = M_G + X$.*

The Q polynomial of a graph can also be generalized to delta-matroids.

Definition 29. [22] Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system. Define

$$Q_\Delta(M; x) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} \sum_{Z \subseteq X} x^{d_{M+Z}(X)}.$$

Theorem 24. [22] *Let G be a simple graph. Then*

$$Q_\Delta(M_G; x - 2) = Q(G; x).$$

The recurrence for the polynomial Q of graphs also generalizes. Here it is important to restrict to vf-safe delta-matroids, since loop complement is not an operation on general delta-matroids.

Theorem 25. [20] *Delta-matroids representable over $GF(2)$ (including adjacency delta-matroids) are vf-safe.*

Theorem 26. [22] *Let D be a vf-safe delta-matroid. Then*

$$Q_\Delta(D;x) = Q_\Delta(D \setminus e;x) + Q_\Delta(D * e \setminus e;x) + Q_\Delta(D \bar{*} e \setminus e;x)$$

for any $e \in E$ such that e is neither a loop nor a coloop in D, and e is not a coloop in $D \bar{*} e$.

The two-variable interlace polynomial of a graph can also be extended to delta-matroids as in the following definition and theorem.

Definition 30. Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a nonempty set system. Define

$$\bar{q}(M;x,y) = \sum_{X \subseteq E} x^{|X|}(y-1)^{n(X)}.$$

Theorem 27. [22] *Let G be a graph with adjacency delta-matroid M_G. Then*

$$\bar{q}\left(M_G; x-1, \frac{y-1}{x-1}\right) = q(G;x,y).$$

The two-variable interlace polynomial of a delta-matroid also satisfies the following recurrence.

Theorem 28. [22] *Let $D = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a delta-matroid. Let $u \in E$. If u is neither a loop nor a coloop, then*

$$\bar{q}(D;x,y) = \bar{q}(D \setminus u;x,y) + x\bar{q}(D * u \setminus u;x,y).$$

If u is a coloop, then

$$\bar{q}(D;x,y) = (x+y)\bar{q}(D * u \setminus u;x,y),$$

while if u is a loop we have

$$\bar{q}(D;x,y) = (1+xy)\bar{q}(D \setminus u;x,y).$$

Many evaluations of both the interlace polynomial and Q for graphs extend to evaluations of the delta-matroid versions of these polynomials (and can often be obtained more easily in that context.) Note that item (6) below can be recovered from the Tutte-Martin polynomials [14]. Moreover, note that the evaluation of $Q(G)$ at 4 does not extend to $Q_\Delta(D)$ [22].

Theorem 29. [22] *Let $D = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a delta-matroid with $n = |E|$. Then*

1. *$q_\Delta(D;1) = 2^n$;*
2. *$q_\Delta(D;0) = |\mathcal{F}|$;*
3. *if all sizes of feasible sets in D have the same parity, then $q_\Delta(D;-1) = 0$;*
4. *if D is vf-safe then $Q_\Delta(D;-2) = 0$;*
5. *if D is vf-safe then $q_\Delta(M;-2) = (-1)^n(-2)^{d_{D*E}}$; and*
6. *if D is binary (i.e. representable over $GF(2)$) then $q_\Delta(D;2) = kq_\Delta(D;-2)$ for some odd integer k.*

Lastly, we remark that there is a connection, as with the interlace polynomial of graphs, between the interlace polynomial of delta-matroids restricted to matroids and the Tutte polynomial along $x = y$.

Definition 31. [22] Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a matroid described by its bases (i.e. a delta-matroid where all feasible sets have the same cardinality). The Tutte polynomial $t(M; x, y)$ of M is given by the recurrence

(1) $t(M; x, y) = t(M/e; x, y) + t(M \setminus e; x, y)$ if e is neither a loop nor a coloop of M, and
(2) $t(M; x, y) = x^i y^j$ if M consists of i coloops and j loops.

Theorem 30. [22] *Let $M = (E, \mathcal{F})$ be a matroid. Then*

$$t(M; x, x) = q_\Delta(M; x - 1).$$

5. Conclusion

We collect in Tables 1 and 2 the known connections between polynomials and combinatorial objects considered above.

There are a number of remaining research directions regarding the interlace polynomials. A natural question is whether results on the interlace polynomial of 4-regular graphs and two-in two-out digraphs can be extended to arbitrary Eulerian graphs and digraphs. Transition systems and the Martin polynomials both extend to this case (see e.g. [27]), but no results are known on the interlace polynomial.

There are also further directions of research involving the Tutte polynomial. Brijder and Hoogeboom found in [22] that a generalization of the two-variable interlace polynomial for matroids captures more of the Tutte polynomial than is captured in Theorem 30, raising the question of whether there is some general combinatorial object and variant of the interlace polynomial that capture the entirety of the Tutte polynomial. Note that the recursive relation defining the Tutte polynomial is not well-defined on delta-matroids, so it is likely that another context is needed.

In [7], Bollobás and Riordan generalized the Tutte polynomial to a polynomial of embedded graphs, which has been shown in [30] to have a connection to the two-variable interlace polynomial analogous to the connection of Theorem 13. This demonstrates that the interlace polynomial contains some topological information, and a natural question to ask is whether there is a full extension of the interlace polynomial to embedded graphs.

Lastly, in the case of the interlace polynomials of graphs, the study of q_N and Q has dominated the literature, and the properties and structure of the two-variable polynomial and the vertex-rank polynomial are less well-known. Furthermore, the closed forms of the vertex-rank and vertex-nullity polynomials suggest the possibility of defining related polynomials with respect to the incidence or Laplacian matrices of a graph, and studying the general theory of such vertex-rank/nullity polynomials.

References

[1] M. Aigner and H. van der Holst. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 377:11–30, 2004.
[2] R. Arratia, B. Bollobás, and G.B. Sorkin. The interlace polynomial: a new graph polynomial. In *SODA'00: Proceedings of the Eleventh Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, pages 237–245. SIAM Press, 2000.
[3] R. Arratia, B. Bollobás, and G.B. Sorkin. The interlace polynomial of a graph. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 92(2):199–233, 2004.

Graph polynomials	**Combinatorial objects**		
	Isotropic system	**Matrix**	**Delta-matroid**
A graph G	(G, A, B)	$A(G)$	M_G
$q(G;x)$	$tm(S, A+B;x)$	$q_m(A(G);x)$	$q_\Delta(M_G;x-1)$
$q(G;x,y)$			$\bar{q}\left(M_G;x-1,\frac{y-1}{x-1}\right)$
$Q(G;x)$	$TM(S;x)$		$Q_\Delta(M_G;x-2)$

TABLE 1. The first column gives the various interlace polynomials of a graph G. Reading across gives the combinatorial objects generalizing graphs, how graphs are encoded by each, and the specializations of polynomials of more general objects that capture the interlace polynomial of a graph in question.

Graph polynomials	**Types of graph G.**		
	4-regular	**Two-in two-out**	**Plane**
$m(G;x)$		$q(H(C);x)$	
$M(G;x)$	$Q(H(C);x)$		
$t(G;x,x)$			$q(H(C);x)$ $m(\vec{G}_m;x)$

TABLE 2. The first column gives specializations of the Martin and Tutte polynomials of a graph G. Reading across gives the appropriate form of interlace polynomial capturing those specializations in the case that G is 4-regular, two-in two-out, or plane. In the table, C is an Eulerian circuit of G.

[4] R. Arratia, B. Bollobás, and G.B. Sorkin. A two-variable interlace polynomial. *Combinatorica*, 24(4):567–584, 2004.

[5] Courcelle B. A multivariate interlace polynomial and its computation for graphs of bounded clique-width. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15, 2008.

[6] P. Balister, B. Bollobás, J. Cutler, and L. Pebody. The interlace polynomial of graphs at -1. *European J. Combin.*, 23(7):761–767, 2002.

[7] B. Bollobás and O. Riordan. A polynomial invariant of graphs on orientable surfaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 3(83):513–531, 2001.

[8] A. Bouchet. Isotropic systems. *European J. Combin.*, 8:231–244, 1987.

[9] A. Bouchet. Reducing prime graphs and recognizing circle graphs. *Combinatorica*, 7:243–254, 1987.

[10] A. Bouchet. Representability of Δ-matroids. In *Proc. 6th Hungarian Colloquium of Combinatorics, in: Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai*, volume 52, pages 167–182, 1987.

[11] A. Bouchet. Unimodularity and circle graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 66:203–208, 1987.

[12] A. Bouchet. Graphic presentation of isotropic systems. *J. Combin. Theory B*, 45:58–76, 1988.

[13] A. Bouchet. Graph polynomials derived from the Tutte-Martin polynomials. *Discrete Mathematics*, 302:32–38, 1991.

[14] A. Bouchet. Tutte-Martin polynomials and orienting vectors of isotropic systems. *Graphs Combin.*, 7:235–252, 1991.

[15] A. Bouchet. Circle graph obstructions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 60:107–144, 1994.

[16] A. Bouchet. Multimatroids I: Coverings by independent sets. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 10(4):626–646, 1997.

[17] A. Bouchet. Multimatroids II: Orthogonality, minors, and connectivity. *Electronic J. Combin.*, 5, 1998.

[18] A. Bouchet. Multimatroids III: Tightness and fundamental graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 22(5):657–677, 2001.
[19] A. Bouchet and A. Duchamp. Representability of Δ-matroids over GF(2). *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 142:67–78, 1991.
[20] R. Brijder and H.J. Hoogeboom. Nullity invariance for pivot and the interlace polynomial. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 435:277–288, 2011.
[21] R. Brijder and H.J. Hoogeboom. The group structure of pivot and loop complementation on graphs and set systems. *European J. Combin.*, 32(8):1353–1367, 2014.
[22] R. Brijder and H.J. Hoogeboom. Interlace polynomials for multimatroids and delta-matroids. *European J. Combin.*, 40:142–167, 2014.
[23] T. Brylawski and J. Oxley. The Tutte Polynomial and Its Applications. In Neil White, editor, *Matroid Applications*, pages 123–225. Cambridge University Press, 1992. Cambridge Books Online.
[24] C. Chun, I. Moffatt, S. D. Noble, and R. Rueckriemen. Matroids, Delta-matroids and Embedded Graphs. *ArXiv e-prints*, March 2014.
[25] M. Cohn and A. Lempel. Cycle decomposition by disjoint transpositions. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 13:83–89, 1972.
[26] H. de Fraysseix and P. Ossona de Mendez. A short proof of a Gauss problem. In G. DiBattista, editor, *Graph Drawing*, volume 1353 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 230–235. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.
[27] J. Ellis-Monaghan. New Results for the Martin Polynomial. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 74(2):326–352, 1998.
[28] J. Ellis-Monaghan and C. Merino. Graph polynomials and their applications I: The Tutte Polynomial. In Matthias Dehmer, editor, *Structural Analysis of Complex Networks*, pages 219–255. Birkhuser Boston, 2011.
[29] J. Ellis-Monaghan and I. Sarmiento. Generalized transition polynomials. *Congr. Numer.*, 155:57–69, 2002.
[30] J. Ellis-Monaghan and I. Sarmiento. Distance hereditary graphs and the interlace polynomial. *Combinatorics, Probability, and Computing*, 16:947–973, 2007.
[31] R. Glantz and M. Pelillo. Graph polynomials from principal pivoting. *Discrete Math*, 306(24):3253–3266, 2006.
[32] F. Jaeger. *On transition polynomials of* 4*-regular graphs*, volume 301 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 201–213. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1990.
[33] A. Kotzig. Eulerian lines in finite 4-valent graphs and their transformations. In P. Erdös and G.Y. Katona, editors, *Theory of Graphs*, pages 219 – 230, 2002.
[34] M. Las Vergnas. Le polynôme de Martin d'un graphe eulérien. *Annals of Discrete Math*, 17:397–411, 1983.
[35] P. Martin. Enumérations eulériennes dans les multigraphes et invariants de Tutte-Grothendieck. *Thèse, Grenoble*, 1977.
[36] P. Martin. Remarkable valuation of the dichromatic polynomial of plane multigraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 24:318–324, 1978.
[37] P. Pevsner. DNA physical mapping and alternating Eulerian circuits in colored graphs. *Algorithmica*, 13:77–105, 1995.
[38] P. Rosenstiehl. A new proof of the Gauss interlace conjecture. *Appl. Math*, 23:3–13, 1999.
[39] P. Rosenstiehl and R.C. Read. On the Gauss crossing problem. In A. Hajnal and V.T. Sos, editors, *On the Gauss crossing problem*, volume II, pages 843–876, Hungary, June 1976.
[40] L. Traldi. Binary nullity, Euler circuits and interlace polynomials. *European J. Combin.*, 32:944–950, 2011.
[41] L. Traldi. On the interlace polynomials. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 103(1):184–208, 2013.
[42] M.J. Tsatsomeros. Principal pivot transforms: properties and applications. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 307:151–165, 2000.
[43] E. Ukkonen. Approximate string-matching with q-grams and maximal matches. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 92:191–211, 1992.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Vermont
E-mail address: ada.morse@uvm.edu